

### REMARKS

Claims 1, 16, and 19 have been amended. A marked-up version of amended claims 1, 16, and 19 is attached hereto as APPENDIX A. The present application contains pending claims 1-22. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the original claims and other claims in this application and in other applications.

Claims 19 and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claim 19 has been amended, as required, to change the term “work station” to “base station.” All of the pending claims are believed to be in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. §112.

Claims 1, 2, 8, and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§102(e) and 103(a) as being anticipated by or obvious over Nguyen. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Amended claim 1 recites a communication system that has a computer base station and a separable mobile device. This is an important feature of the claimed invention. Component (31) in Nguyen is a personal data assistant (PDA) portion of a personal communications terminal 10 (column 4, lines 29-31). Nguyen does not disclose or suggest a computer base station and a separable mobile device as claimed in claim 1, and there is no contention in the Office Action to the contrary.

Further, claim 1 recites a “data transfer system for transferring data from said computer base station to said mobile device.” Nguyen fails to disclose or suggest this important feature of the claimed invention. The Office Action proposes that Nguyen teaches a “data transfer system for transferring data from the computer base station to the mobile device (mobile data interface unit 34 being used to transfer data directly between the PDA unit and the telephone unit without the use of a modem, col. 4, lines 32-25).” However, the Office Action associates both a computer base station and a mobile device with a single PDA unit (31) in Nguyen. It is not understood how a data transfer system between a computer base station and a mobile device exists within the PDA unit (31) in Nguyen. Additionally, the mobile data interface (34) transfers data directly between the

PDA unit (31) and the attached telephone (32) (column 4, lines 33-35) and cannot function as a data transfer system between a computer base and a separable mobile device as recited in amended claim 1. Consequently, claim 1 as amended should be allowable over Nguyen.

Claims 2, 8, and 9 are dependent from claim 1 and contain all the limitations of claim 1. Claims 2, 8, and 9 are believed to be in immediate condition for allowance based on those reasons outlined above for the allowance of claim 1 and also because the unique combinations recited in these dependent claims are neither taught nor suggested by Nguyen.

Claims 10, 11, and 13-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen in view of Duncan. This rejection is also respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

Claim 10 of the present invention recites a “mobile communication handset comprising a personal organizer unit ... and a remote data transfer system for transferring organizer data from a base station to said organizer unit while said handset is remote from the base station.” The Office Action suggests that Nguyen discloses a mobile communication handset comprising a PDA, but fails to suggest performing remote data transfer from a base station to the organizer (PDA) while the handset is remote from the base station. For this shortcoming, the Office Action relies on Duncan, submitting that the latter discloses an interface for exchanging data between a host and an accessory item including remote implementation of the data path.

Duncan pertains to an interface between a telephone and a headset. Duncan discloses that a connection between an accessory item of audio telephony equipment and a telephone host may be implemented over an infrared media or wireless media (column 7, lines 14-20). However, this connection (15) in Duncan is one part of a two-part connector interface (13). The other part of interface (13) is a connector receptor (14) which is a wired modular connector that is a necessary part of the interface (13), and is used in every

embodiment in Duncan (column 3, lines 12-17; Figures 1, 3, 4, and 5). Therefore, because the Duncan system necessarily contains a wired connection, Duncan does not teach, as the Office Action suggests, a remote data transfer system for transferring organizer data from a base station to an organizer unit while the unit is remote from the base station.

Moreover, there is no motivation to combine the teachings of Nguyen with the teachings of Duncan to arrive at the present invention. Nguyen relates to a stand alone personal communications terminal comprising a PDA, a telephone, and a data interface between the two. Duncan relates to an interface between a telephone and an accessory item of audio telephony equipment. Duncan's disclosure has no applicability to Nguyen's personal communications terminal because Nguyen's terminal neither requires nor justifies another data transfer medium.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Nguyen in view of Duncan does not anticipate claim 10. Claims 11 and 13-15 are dependent upon claim 10 and contain all the limitations of claim 10. Claims 11 and 13-15 are believed to be in immediate condition for allowance for those reasons outlined above for the allowance of claim 10 and also because the unique combinations recited in these dependent claims are neither taught nor suggested by Nguyen in view of Duncan.

Claims 16-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as anticipated by Nguyen, or in the alternative under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over Nguyen in view of Uchikura. This rejection is respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

Claim 16, as amended, recites a method involving "inputting organizer data into a computer base station, transmitting organizer data ... from said computer base station to a personal organizer unit located in a mobile handset separable from said computer base station, and using said handset for wireless telephone communication." As discussed above, Nguyen does not disclose or suggest a computer base station and a remote personal organizer unit separable from the computer base station. Further, there is no contention in the Office Action that Uchikura overcomes these deficiencies.

For at least the foregoing reasons, applicants respectfully submit that amended claim 16 is allowable over the cited references. Claims 17-20 depend from claim 16 and contain all the limitations of claim 16. Claims 17-20 are allowable based on the same reasons for allowance of claim 16 and also because the unique combinations recited in these dependent claims are neither taught nor suggested by Nguyen and Uchikura.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue.

Dated: March 28, 2001

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Mark J. Thronson

Registration No.: 33,082

Peter A. Veytsman

Registration No.: 45,920

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &  
OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 828-2232

Attorneys for Applicant

## APPENDIX A

Version With Markings to Show Changes Made

1. (Twice Amended) An integrated computer and mobile communication system, said system comprising:

a computer base station;

a mobile device containing a wireless telephone unit and a personal organizer unit including schedule access function keys and a display screen, said mobile device being separable from said computer base station; and

a data transfer system for transferring data from said computer base station to said mobile device.

16. (Twice Amended) A communications and personal organizer method, said method comprising the steps of:

inputting organizer data into a computer base station;

transmitting organizer data including personal scheduling information from said computer base station to a personal organizer unit located in a mobile handset separable from said computer base station; and

using said handset for wireless telephone communication.

19. (Amended) The method of claim 18, wherein said transmitting step occurs while said handset is located in a cradle associated with said [work] base station.